Massachusetts

Michigan

Minnesota

Mississippi Missouri

Montana Nebraska

Nevada

New York

New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico

North Carolina North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyomina

gia	766,091 106.6% 104.1% 96.3% 101.7% 100.7% 106.0% 106.2%	77.3% 99.4% 100.0%		than Idaho's, and the smaller the district, the greater its residents' individual political clout.
aii	730,069 101.6% 99.2% 91.8% 96.9% 95.9% 101.0% 101.2%	73.7% 94.8% 95.3% 100.0%		than taking 5, and the smaller the district, the greater its residence marviatal pointed cloth
)	920,689 128.1% 125.1% 115.7% 122.2% 121.0% 127.4% 127.6%	92.9% 119.5% 120.2% 126.1% 100.0%		However, it may not be obvious why this calculation works. It can be understood as follows. First,
is	754,279 105.0% 102.5% 94.8% 100.1% 99.1% 104.4% 104.5%	76.1% 97.9% 98.5% 103.3% 81.9% 100.0%		
na	754,476 105.0% 102.5% 94.9% 100.1% 99.1% 104.4% 104.5%	76.1% 97.9% 98.5% 103.3% 81.9% 100.0% 100.0%		any given resident's political clout is the inverse of their state's average district size, for example:

Illinois

Hawaiian political clout = 1 ÷ 730.068 751.557 104.6% 102.1% 94.5% 99.8% 98.7% 104.0% 104.1% 75.9% 97.6% 98.1% 102.9% 81.6% 99.6% 99.6% 94.2% 102.2% 100.0% Idahoan political clout = 1 ÷ 920.688

lowa Kentucky

Louisiana Maine

The relative political clout of these two states is determined by dividing the Hawaiian value by the Maryland

577,719 80.4% 78.5% 72.8% 76.7% 75.9% 79.9% 80.1% 58.3% 75.0% 75.4% 79.1% 62.7% 76.8% 76.8% 76.9% 74.5% 80.9% 78.0%

Idahoan value:

than Idahoans

1 ÷ 730,068

1 ÷ 920,688

920,688

730.068

Which equals 1.26, or 126.1%. Which indicates that Hawaiians have 26.1% more political clout

As it turns out, that is equal to the non-inversed reciprocal, as follows: